

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/918,477	BRUNEL, LOIC	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jean B. Corrielus	2637	

All Participants:

(1) Jean B. Corrielus.

(2) Surinder Sachar.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 24 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

32

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant rep. agrees to amend claim 32 and the pecification page 9, as indicated in the attached examiner amendment. Such amendment is necessary to corrcet for some informalities so as to place the application in better form for allowance

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)